

FTD-HT-23-338-69

FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION


AD 868561



VIETNAM - NATO'S TESTING FIELD FOR CHEMICAL WEAPONS

by

K. Schumacher



This document was
transmitted by
make only

FTD/TDBD
WPAFB, Ohio

45433

[Redacted]

Reproduced by the
CLEARINGHOUSE
for Federal Scientific & Technical
Information, Springfield, Va. 22151

10

FTD-HT-23-338-69

EDITED TRANSLATION

VIETNAM - NATO'S TESTING FIELD FOR CHEMICAL WEAPONS

By: K. Schumacher

English pages: 6

Source: Zeitschrift für Militärmedizin
(Military Medicine Journal), No. 2,
1968, pp. 82-84.

Translated under: Contract No. F33657-70-D-0607

GE/0075/68/000/002

THIS TRANSLATION IS A RENDITION OF THE ORIGINAL FOREIGN TEXT WITHOUT ANY ANALYTICAL OR EDITORIAL COMMENT. STATEMENTS OR THEORIES ADVOCATED OR IMPLIED ARE THOSE OF THE SOURCE AND DO NOT NECESSARILY REFLECT THE POSITION OR OPINION OF THE FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION.

PREPARED BY:

TRANSLATION DIVISION
FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION
AFB, OHIO.

FTD-HT-23-338-69

Date 12 Mar 1970

VIETNAM - NATO'S TESTING FIELD FOR CHEMICAL WEAPONS

Dr. K. Schumacher, Major in the Medical Service

On the basis of facts known from the press and from statements made by the FLN (National Liberation Front), we will attempt to review the individual stages of the "chemical warfare" in South Vietnam, describe the objectives and effects, unmask the USA's demagoguery, and evaluate the use of CW (Chemische Kampfstoffe; Chemical weapons) in South Vietnam.

American military officials and economists frequently complain that in the United States approximately 180 million persons inhabit a territory of less than 10 million square kilometers, while in the Soviet Union approximately 210 million persons inhabit an area of about 22 million square kilometers, and that the military industry in the United States is limited to a few large centers which can be easily destroyed with nuclear weapons.

When in August 1953 the Soviet Union detonated its first operational nuclear weapon and in this way overtook the United States in applied technology, the United States was annually allocating 31.1 million dollars for the development of chemical weapons (CW) and biological weapons (BW).

1960	the amount was 103.4 million dollars
1961	133.8 million dollars
1963 to 1966	200.0 million dollars
1967	230.0 million dollars

Obviously, these figures are not very trustworthy, since they were taken from the NATO press into which they might have been tossed haphazardly. However, the comprehensive growth of chemical weapons, organic phosphates, and psychic and sabotage poisons, as well as the appearance of new irritating substances, clearly demonstrate that expenditures for this purpose are increasing.

The loss of supremacy in nuclear weapons and the powerful defensive potential of the Soviet Union and other socialist countries with a more advantageous population density and distribution of defensive industries are objective reasons for the fact that some NATO countries, especially the United States, devote so much attention to chemical and biological weapons.

Naturally, the NATO professional press does not emphasize these reasons. A biased interpretation of the comparative effects of individual mass destruction methods (IMDM) usually is as follows:

- chemical and biological weapons are more economical than nuclear weapons; they can be manufactured secretly at small costs and achieve their appropriate material importance;
- chemical and biological weapons are tactically very versatile; they can defend routes of transportation and rail junctions as well as other objects militarily advantageous to their users; the extent, onset, and duration of the effect can be adjusted to the tactical requirements "in doses";
- chemical and biological weapons offer great advantages in a "limited war."

The use of chemical weapons in the dirty war waged by the United States, its allies and accomplices against the Vietnamese people courageously fighting for their freedom and independence must therefore be regarded as a test of new chemical weapons and utilization of their tactical versatility.

Table 1 contains a summary of the chemical weapons used by the United States in the "chemical war" which it began in 1961 against the inhabitants of South Vietnam.

Table I. Chemical weapons used by the United Front in South Vietnam (1961-1966).¹

Chemical Weapons	Purpose of Application	Physical effects
1. Stage Methylchloroformate and 1,1,1-trichlorophenylcyanacetonitrile	destruction of cover destruction of food	when it接触ed a living person defoliation, poisoning, to poison useful animals (e.g.
2. Stage Calcium cyanamide (time interval)	the direct "means of the attack" according to American publications	destruction of people (chemical explosion, explosion, burning, etc.)
3. Stage Nitrophenol 4,4-dinitrophenol	destruction of cover	burning, etc.
4. Nitrophenol nitrophenol CS gas	destruction of cover	burning, etc.
5. Stage CS (chloracetophenone) CS (o-chlorobenzylidene malonitrile), o-chlorobenzylidene malonitrile, and diethylamine, etc.	direct fire	burning, etc. burning, etc. burning, etc.
6. Stage organic phosphates, etc.	destruction of people killing of people	burning, etc.

Editorial comment: In this document, various designations of CS are mentioned. It is believed that they refer to the Bern-Washington collaboration in the production of CS by the Bayer AG Dye Factory formed with the Imperial Chemical Industries, Ltd., of Great Britain, and the United States' and Canada's total demand for this poison. Reference may be made to the report of the US Army Chemical Corps has been proven.

*Only three references given with original article - translation.

Comments:

1. The table was prepared on the basis of information supplied by South Vietnam's National Liberation Front.
2. The indirect poisoning of useful animals is caused by the fact that, after application of herbicides, the animals eat poisonous plants which they normally avoid. Furthermore, fodder plants undergo changes leading to accumulation of toxic substances, for example, potassium nitrate.
3. There are various opinions as to what irritating agent is concealed under the American cover designation, CS. According to FLN statements, it is thiophosgene. According to American publications (mainly from the US Army Chemical Center, Maryland) it is more probable that it is o-chlorobenzylidene malonitrile that has been included in the US Army equipment as a "means for combating riots."
4. FLN organizations have mentioned Tabun, Sarin, and Som... However, the utilization of these toxic chemical weapons prior to

the development of V-agents completely contradicts the well-known inclination of USA imperialists to present their "chemical warfare" as philanthropic actions for combating weeds and insects or, at worst, for "combating riots" as they do in their own country. When insecticides that are highly toxic for warm-blooded animals are used in appropriate doses, the same effects may be attained in humans in uncontrolled human beings.

... the exact extent of damage done by the United States in South Vietnam through the use of chemical weapons cannot be stated. It is known, estimating that the application of herbicides in August through October alone, in 1962, in the first stage, destroyed 3,200 hectares of mangrove forests. However, the annually repeated application of herbicides started in August, 1961, during which year a 24 kilometer long and 550 meter wide strip south of the demilitarized zone between North and South Vietnam was presumably "radically cleared of all plant growth."

According to FLN statements of 13 March 1966, 700,000 hectares of useful crops were destroyed, thousands of persons were killed, and hundreds of thousands were poisoned.

In the western area alone, 150,000 to 200,000 persons were cited as injured by "poison gas." This figure approximately corresponds to the casualties suffered by Germany, England, and France, the main participants in the "chemical warfare" of World War I. It amounts to about one-fifth of the total number of CW injured persons recorded by all countries participating in that war.

These figures show the complete invalidity of arguments in regard to killing weeds with usual herbicides, combating pests with commercial insecticides, and suppressing riots with means that are used for policing purposes in the United States.

The true intention is revealed by the facts that:

- the application of lime nitrogen as fertilizer and weed killer requires eye protection and impervious garments, while an anti-

of tragic consequences against the Geneva Convention because protective devices not only for eyes and the skin but also for breathing;

- the right of man to live, and not to die, is a God-given right; food-conserving substance, for destroying pests is not customary;

- the application of arsenic compounds requires protective garments and respirators and not gas masks;

- arsenic and other toxic substances, which are abundant in Germany - such as chlorine and chlorinated hydrocarbons, and which, because of their high toxicity, were banned from use as pesticides in agriculture;

- 8.1 gramm of arsenic is lethal, and therefore its use as well as that of various other agents has no justification at all;

- herbicides and insecticides have been applied over villages and towns; and

- lacrimators and not sternutators are usually used for policing purposes.

There is no doubt that the United States is flagrantly violating the Geneva Convention which prohibited "the use of suffocating, toxic, and similar gases, liquids, and solids as well as bacteriological agents" in future wars.

Even though this convention was not signed by the United States, it still became a valid constituent of international law.

Furthermore, by waging "chemical warfare" in South Vietnam, the United States is guilty of mass destruction for aggressive purposes - an act which was declared criminal by the UN General Assembly on 9 December 1948.

Thus, it is hardly surprising that again in west Germany we find representatives of those forces which handed Agenten und Zyklone B to the fascists and who want to participate in the dirty, deadly business by selling licences, establishing subsidiaries in the United States, and building "fertilizer factories" for the

Urgent Governmental, ² and also confirm the information that, the military operation when initially becomes more understandable.

The application of toxic compounds has shown that:

- new and more highly developed poison (Agent Blue, Agent Red), and
- new methods for their use, which are more effective,
- the ability which they have to penetrate the terrain, to penetrate the ground, to penetrate the water, to penetrate the air, to penetrate the soil, to penetrate the body of man.

Considering the low standard of cultural and educational level of the South Vietnamese rural population, the lack of water supply, and the specific climatic conditions, the American imperialists have succeeded in producing devastating results.

In conclusion, we may state that "chemical warfare" will have a specific character in each different war area. The crimes committed in Vietnam should inspire us anew to do everything possible to frustrate the imperialist plans.

References

1. Beitz, Ob. d. chem. Krieg i. USA in Sudvietnam ("United States' Chemical Warfare in South Vietnam"), Welt-Wes. 3 (1964) 6, 1167.
2. Kunz, Krieg ohne Tote? (War without death), Die Röntgen-Anw. v. Herbiziden (Military Use of Herbicides).
3. Vojenska Technika (Military Technology), Prague, 7 (1961) 1, p. 12.

Editorial comment: This article

¹Editorial Comment: In this country Mr. McCloskey released information was recently disclosed testifying anew to the British-Washington collaboration in the production and use of toxic substances. For example, in 1951 the Bayer AG factory formed with the Chemagro Corporation, an American subsidiary which was used in United States' and Canada's secret weapons for clandestine use. Chemagro Corporation's cooperation with the U.S. Army Chemical Corps is well proven.

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

REF ID: A65577
DEFINITE CONTROL DATA SHEET

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the Defense Intelligence Agency. It has been reviewed by the Defense Intelligence Agency and approved for release under the Freedom of Information Act.

1. SUBJECT

2. DATE OF INFORMATION

3. REPORT TYPE

VIETNAM - RUSSIAN TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM, 1970-71

4. DATES COVERED

5. PERIODICITY

6. SOURCE NUMBER

7. REPORT NUMBER

8. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

9. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

10. REFERENCES

11. ABSTRACT

12. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

13. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

14. REPORT NUMBER

15. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

17. REPORT NUMBER

18. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

20. REPORT NUMBER

21. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

22. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

23. REPORT NUMBER

24. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

25. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

26. REPORT NUMBER

27. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

28. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

29. REPORT NUMBER

30. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

31. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

32. REPORT NUMBER

33. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

34. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

35. REPORT NUMBER

36. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

37. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

38. REPORT NUMBER

39. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

40. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

41. REPORT NUMBER

42. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

43. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

44. REPORT NUMBER

45. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

46. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

47. REPORT NUMBER

48. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

49. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

50. REPORT NUMBER

51. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

52. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

53. REPORT NUMBER

54. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

55. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

56. REPORT NUMBER

57. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

58. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

59. REPORT NUMBER

60. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

61. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

62. REPORT NUMBER

63. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

64. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

65. REPORT NUMBER

66. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

67. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

68. REPORT NUMBER

69. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

70. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

71. REPORT NUMBER

72. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

73. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

74. REPORT NUMBER

75. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

76. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

77. REPORT NUMBER

78. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

79. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

80. REPORT NUMBER

81. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

82. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

83. REPORT NUMBER

84. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

85. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

86. REPORT NUMBER

87. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

88. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

89. REPORT NUMBER

90. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

91. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

92. REPORT NUMBER

93. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

94. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

95. REPORT NUMBER

96. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

97. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

98. REPORT NUMBER

99. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

100. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

101. REPORT NUMBER

102. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

103. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

104. REPORT NUMBER

105. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

106. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

107. REPORT NUMBER

108. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

109. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

110. REPORT NUMBER

111. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

112. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

113. REPORT NUMBER

114. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

115. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

116. REPORT NUMBER

117. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

118. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

119. REPORT NUMBER

120. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

121. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

122. REPORT NUMBER

123. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

124. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

125. REPORT NUMBER

126. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

127. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

128. REPORT NUMBER

129. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

130. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

131. REPORT NUMBER

132. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

133. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

134. REPORT NUMBER

135. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

136. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

137. REPORT NUMBER

138. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

139. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

140. REPORT NUMBER

141. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

142. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

143. REPORT NUMBER

144. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

145. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

146. REPORT NUMBER

147. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

148. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

149. REPORT NUMBER

150. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

151. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

152. REPORT NUMBER

153. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

154. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

155. REPORT NUMBER

156. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

157. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

158. REPORT NUMBER

159. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

160. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

161. REPORT NUMBER

162. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

163. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

164. REPORT NUMBER

165. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

166. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

167. REPORT NUMBER

168. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

169. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

170. REPORT NUMBER

171. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

172. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

173. REPORT NUMBER

174. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

175. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

176. REPORT NUMBER

177. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

178. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

179. REPORT NUMBER

180. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

181. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

182. REPORT NUMBER

183. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

184. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

185. REPORT NUMBER

186. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

187. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

188. REPORT NUMBER

189. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

190. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

191. REPORT NUMBER

192. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

193. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

194. REPORT NUMBER

195. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

196. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

197. REPORT NUMBER

198. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

199. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

200. REPORT NUMBER

201. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

202. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

203. REPORT NUMBER

204. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

205. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

206. REPORT NUMBER

207. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

208. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

209. REPORT NUMBER

210. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

211. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

212. REPORT NUMBER

213. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

214. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

215. REPORT NUMBER

216. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

217. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

218. REPORT NUMBER

219. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

220. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

221. REPORT NUMBER

222. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

223. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

224. REPORT NUMBER

225. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

226. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

227. REPORT NUMBER

228. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

229. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

230. REPORT NUMBER

231. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

232. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

233. REPORT NUMBER

234. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

235. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

236. REPORT NUMBER

237. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

238. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

239. REPORT NUMBER

240. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

241. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

242. REPORT NUMBER

243. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

244. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

245. REPORT NUMBER

246. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

247. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

248. REPORT NUMBER

249. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

250. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

251. REPORT NUMBER

252. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

253. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

254. REPORT NUMBER

255. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

256. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

257. REPORT NUMBER

258. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

259. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

260. REPORT NUMBER

261. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

262. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

263. REPORT NUMBER

264. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

265. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

266. REPORT NUMBER

267. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

268. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

269. REPORT NUMBER

270. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

271. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

272. REPORT NUMBER

273. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

274. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

275. REPORT NUMBER

276. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

277. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

278. REPORT NUMBER

279. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

280. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

281. REPORT NUMBER

282. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

283. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

284. REPORT NUMBER

285. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

286. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

287. REPORT NUMBER

288. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

289. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

290. REPORT NUMBER

291. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

292. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

293. REPORT NUMBER

294. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

295. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

296. REPORT NUMBER

297. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

298. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

299. REPORT NUMBER

300. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT

301. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification

KEY WORDS	LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT	ROLE	WT
Chemical Warfare						
Poison						
Poison Effect						
Deficient Agent						
Hallucinogen						
Geneva Convention						

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classification